EUROSOCIAL 71/03

UNDERSTANDING SOCIAL INCLUSION IN A LARGER EUROPE. AN OPEN DEBATE

PROCEEDINGS FROM THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY MEETING OF THE EUROPEAN CENTRE, DECEMBER 2002

> Edited by Michael F. Förster Flip Maas Bernd Marin

Understanding Social Inclusion in a Larger Europe. An Open Debate

Since 2001, all EU Member States are developing bi-annual National Action Plans for Combating Poverty and Social Exclusion (NAPincl). The EU indicators in the field of poverty and social exclusion, the so-called "Laeken indicators", which were established to monitor the achievements of the National Action Plans are of utmost importance in this context. Recognising the importance of these indicators and the political consensus-building process behind it, the indicators are object of criticism from both scientific and political perspectives. Firstly, a general problem considered is that the choice of indicators may have been influenced by limited data availability and therefore not necessarily reflect policy priorities in EU Member States. Secondly, the lack of a consensus on the causes could mean that national policy priorities might not get reflected in the conclusions of the EU-wide debate on social inclusion.

Nine of the total of 18 Laeken indicators are income-based measures and much of the political debate around "poverty" is often restricted to few among them, such as the income poverty rate. Therefore, another major question in the debate is to what extent these income-based poverty measures form sufficient ground to develop and implement policies and to set targets in a longer-term as well as a European perspective. Although recognising their usefulness in the political context, the example of Hungary shows that focusing on one single monetary indicator cannot satisfy all needs for monitoring and evaluation, since it does not take into account the dynamics of economies. It has been argued that reliance on a subset of purely income-based measures alone can give misleading pictures of the effects of policy. Furthermore, it prevents meaningful comparisons of poverty and social exclusion across an enlarged Europe. An exploratory contribution of the European Centre for Social Welfare Policy and Research combines income and non-income elements and applies such a framework developed on this notion to a larger Europe (18 EU and EU accession countries), proposing and discussing alternative indicators of consistent poverty.

These issues were among the major themes, which were presented and discussed during the annual General Assembly Meeting 2002 of the European Centre for Social Welfare Policy and Research. This publication reflects the contributions and discussions on that occasion.

Contents

"Social Inclusion" in the Context of the Work	
of the European Centre: Introduction	7
Michael F. Förster and Flip Maas	

Social Inclusion in Larger Europe: All About Money? Uses, Limitations and Extensions of Income-based Social Indicators 11 Michael F. Förster, Michael Fuchs, Herwig Immervoll, Géza Tarcali European Centre "Incomes, Poverty, and Social Modelling" Programme

Comments:

Social Inclusion in a Wider Europe. The Interaction	
between Indicators and National Plans: The Risks for	
National Policy Priorities and for the Determination	
of National Specific Research Priorities	39
David Stanton, former Director of the Analytical Services Directorate;	
Department for Work and Pensions, United Kingdom	

Social Inclusion in a Larger Europe	
and the Experiences in Hungary	45
Karoly Czibere, Director of the National Institute of Family and Children,	
Hungary and National Liaison Official to the European Centre	

The EU Social Inclusion Process: Where We Were, Where We Are and What We Should Aim At	55
Eric Marlier, Senior Advisor,	
CEPS/INSTEAD Research Institute, Luxembourg	
Annex:	
Poverty, Unemployment and Social Inclusion	
in Serbia and Montenegro	69
Mirosinka Dinkic and Kosovka Ognjenovic,	
G17 Institut, Serbia and Montenegro	
Abstracts: English / French / German	79